arXiv:1606.04175v1 [math.RT] 13 Jun 2016

THE AUSLANDER-GRUSON-JENSEN RECOLLEMENT

JEREMY RUSSELL AND SAMUEL DEAN

ABSTRACT. For any ring R, the Auslander-Gruson-Jensen functor is the exact contravariant functor

$$\mathsf{D}_A\colon \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R),\mathsf{Ab}) \to (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}),\mathsf{Ab})$$

sending representable functors $(X, _\,)$ to tensor functors $X \otimes _\,$. We show that this functor admits a fully faithful left adjoint D_L and a fully faithful right adjoint D_R. The left adjoint

$$\mathsf{D}_L\colon (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}),\mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R),\mathsf{Ab})$$

induces an equivalence of categories

$$\frac{\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R),\mathsf{Ab})}{\{F \mid \mathsf{D}_A F = 0\}} \cong (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}),\mathsf{Ab})^{op}$$

where $\{F \mid \mathsf{D}_A F = 0\}$ is the Serre subcategory of $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R),\mathsf{Ab})$ consisting of all functors F arising from pure exact sequences. As a result, the functor D_A is seen to be a Serre localization functor. The right adjoint

$$\mathsf{D}_R : (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}),\mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R),\mathsf{Ab})$$

together with D_A restricts to the well known Auslander-Gruson-Jensen duality.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. The Yoneda Embedding and Tensor Embedding 9
3. The First Embedding $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}),\mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R),\mathsf{Ab})$ 13
4. Auslander's Blueprint 15
5. The Left Adjoint Theorem 21
6. The Right Adjoint Theorem 27
References 34

1. INTRODUCTION

The category of finitely presented functors $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R),\mathsf{Ab})$ is the category consisting of all functors $F\colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \to \mathsf{Ab}$ such that there are modules $X, Y \in \mathsf{Mod}(R)$ and there is an exact sequence of natural transformations

$$(Y, _\,) \longrightarrow (X, _\,) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$$

This category and the category $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ of all additive covariant functors $G\colon \mathsf{mod}(R^{op}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ab}$ are both abelian categories, a sequence

$$F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow H$$

being exact if and only if for any object A, the sequence

$$F(A) \longrightarrow G(A) \longrightarrow H(A)$$

is exact. The Auslander-Gruson-Jensen functor is the exact contravariant functor

$$\mathsf{D}_A\colon \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$$

sending representable functors $(X, _\,)$ to tensor functors $X \otimes _\,$. The goal of this paper is to produce the following recollement induced by the Auslander-Gruson-Jensen functor D_A.

The Auslander-Gruson-Jensen Recollement. There is a recollement



meaning

(1) The inclusion functor i has left adjoint $(_\,)^p$ and right adjoint $(_\,)_q$.
(2) The counit $(_\,)^p \circ i \to 1$ and unit $1 \to (_\,)_q \circ i$ are isomorphisms.
(3) D_A has left adjoint D_L and right adjoint D_R.
(4) The unit $\mathsf{D}_A\mathsf{D}_L \to 1$ and counit $1 \to \mathsf{D}_A\mathsf{D}_R$ are isomorphisms.

There are two well known embeddings of the module category $\mathsf{Mod}(R)$ into well known functor categories. The first is the Yoneda embedding

$$\mathsf{Y}\colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \longrightarrow \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$$

given by $\mathsf{Y}(X) = (X, _\,)$. The second is the tensor embedding

$$t\colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \longrightarrow (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$$

given by $t(X) = X \otimes _\,$. Both Y and t admit adjoints. The adjoint to Y is due to Auslander and appears in [1]. The adjoint to t is due to Gruson and Jensen and appears in [9]. We are led to the Auslander-Gruson-Jensen recollement by asking whether D_A also admits an adjoint. We will show that D_A in fact admits both a right and left adjoint thereby completing the following diagram of adjunctions.

AGJ Adjunction Diagram.



The main results contained herein are the following.

Theorem (Left Adjoint Theorem)**.** The Auslander-Gruson-Jensen functor D_A admits a fully faithful left adjoint D_L



Corollary. The category $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ embeds into the category of finitely presented functors $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ via D_L.

Proposition (The AGJ Localization Property)**.** The Auslander-Gruson-Jensen functor D_A is a Serre localization functor inducing an equivalence of categories

$$\frac{\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})}{\{F \mid \mathsf{D}_A F = 0\}} \cong (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})^{op}$$

where

$$\{F \mid \mathsf{D}_A F = 0\}$$

is the Serre subcategory of $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ consisting of all finitely presented functors arising from pure exact sequences.

Theorem (Right Adjoint Theorem)**.** The Auslander-Gruson-Jensen functor D_A admits a fully faithful right adjoint D_R



Corollary. The category $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ embeds into the category of finitely presented functors $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ via D_R.

Corollary. The adjoint pair $(\mathsf{D}_R, \mathsf{D}_A)$ restricts to the Auslander-Gruson-Jensen duality $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}) \simeq \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})^{op}$.

Contravariant Adjunction Issues. We will employ the following convention when discussing contravariant functors. For the most part, we will not move to the opposite category in order to make these functors covariant. This means that in certain situations, one must think harder about concepts involving adjunctions such as what the unit and counit are in the contravariant case. An example is that the adjunction isomorphism for $(\mathsf{D}_L, \mathsf{D}_A)$ is written as

$$\mathsf{Nat}(\mathsf{D}_A F, G) \cong \mathsf{Nat}(\mathsf{D}_L G, F)$$

making it difficult to know which is the right and left adjoint. Another example is that the unit of adjunction $\eta_F \colon \mathsf{D}_A \mathsf{D}_L(F) \longrightarrow F$ seems to have the wrong direction. The reasoning behind this convention is the ability to work with natural transformations in functor categories instead of looking at the opposite functor category. The reader is invited to add the "*op*" and reverse the arrows where appropriate if this is helpful.

Organization. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the Yoneda embedding and the tensor embedding of the category $\mathsf{Mod}(R)$ into the appropriate functor category. A functor $F \colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ab}$ is finitely presented if there is a sequence of natural transformations

$$(Y, _\,) \longrightarrow (X, _\,) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$$

such that given any $A \in \mathsf{Mod}(R)$ the sequence of abelian groups

$$(Y, A) \longrightarrow (X, A) \longrightarrow F(A) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. The category $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ is an abelian category with enough projectives and projective dimension ≤ 2, as shown by Auslander in [1]. This category also has enough injectives as shown by Gentle in [8]. One may embed the category $\mathsf{Mod}(R)$ into the category of finitely presented functors using the so called Yoneda embedding which is the contravariant left exact functor

$$\mathsf{Y} \colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \longrightarrow \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$$

given by $\mathsf{Y}(X) = (X, _\,)$. In [1], Auslander shows that this embedding has an adjoint

$$w \colon \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Mod}(R)$$

which is defined as follows. Given any projective presentation

$$(Y, _\,) \longrightarrow (X, _\,) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$$

there corresponds an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow w(F) \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow Y$$

in $\mathsf{Mod}(R)$ which completely determines up to isomorphism the contravariant exact functor w.

There is a second way to embed $\mathsf{Mod}(R)$ into an appropriate functor category. The functor category $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ consists of all additive functors $F\colon \mathsf{mod}(R^{op}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ab}$. This category is also abelian and in fact Grothendieck. Gruson and Jensen show in [9] that the functor

$$t\colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \longrightarrow (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$$

given by $t(X) = X \otimes _$ is fully faithful and as such it is commonly referred to as the tensor embedding. Gruson and Jensen also show the functor $\mathsf{ev}_R\colon (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Mod}(R)$ given by $\mathsf{ev}_R(F) = F(R)$ is the right adjoint to the tensor embedding.

The Auslander-Gruson-Jensen functor is the contravariant functor

$$\mathsf{D}_A\colon \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$$

defined by

$$\mathsf{D}_A = R_0(t \circ w).$$

Because $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ has projective dimension ≤ 2, one easily sees that the left exactness of $t \circ w$ results in D_A being exact. Since the projectives of $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ consist precisely of the representable functors, the functor D_A is completely determined by its exactness and the fact that, for each left R-module X, there is an isomorphism $\mathsf{D}_A(X, -) \cong X \otimes -$ which is natural in X.

In Section 3, we establish that there is a fully faithful functor

$$\mathsf{D}_L\colon (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}).$$

A pure exact sequence is a short exact sequence in $\mathsf{Mod}(R)$

$$0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$$

such that

$$0 \to A \otimes _ \to B \otimes _ \to C \otimes _ \to 0$$

is exact in $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$. A pure-injective module M is any module which is injective with respect to pure exact sequences. That is given any pure exact sequence

$$0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$$

the sequence

$$0 \to (C, M) \to (B, M) \to (A, M) \to 0$$

is exact. Gruson and Jensen in [9] classified the injectives of $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ as the functors of the form $M \otimes _$ where M is pure-injective. We define the functor

$$\mathsf{D}_L\colon (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$$

by

$$\mathsf{D}_L = L^0(\mathsf{Y} \circ \mathsf{ev}_R).$$

Since the injectives of $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ are the tensor functors $M \otimes _$ for which M is pure-injective, D_L is completely determined by contravariant

right exactness and the the fact that, for any pure-injective M, there is an isomorphism $\mathsf{D}_L(M \otimes _\,) \cong (M, _\,)$ which is natural in M.

In Section 4, we construct a natural transformation

$$\gamma_F \colon \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(F) \longrightarrow F$$

for every finitely presented functor $F : \mathsf{Mod}(R) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ab}$ and use γ to describe the kernel of D_A. The natural transformation γ is constructed in two steps. First, for each representable $(X, _\,)$, one has pure-injectives M, N and an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X \otimes _ \longrightarrow M \otimes _ \longrightarrow N \otimes _\,.$$

This gives rise to the commutative diagram where the bottom row is a complex and the top row is exact

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(N, _\,) & \longrightarrow & (M, _\,) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(X, _\,) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} & & \vdots{\scriptstyle \gamma_{(X, _\,)}} & & \\
(N, _\,) & \longrightarrow & (M, _\,) & \longrightarrow & (X, _\,). & &
\end{array}$$

The natural transformation γ can be extended to the entire category $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ using the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(Y, _\,) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(X, _\,) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A F & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{(Y, _\,)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{(X, _\,)}} & & \vdots{\scriptstyle \gamma_F} & & \\
(Y, _\,) & \longrightarrow & (X, _\,) & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

We show that γ is natural in F and $\mathsf{D}_A(\gamma_F)$ is an isomorphism. This results in the following description of $\mathsf{Ker}(\mathsf{D}_A)$

Proposition. Let $F \in \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$. The following are equivalent.

(1) $\mathsf{D}_A F = 0$
(2) F arises from a pure exact sequence.
(3) F vanishes on all pure-injectives.
(4) For every pure-injective M, $\mathsf{Nat}\big((M, _\,), F\big) = 0$.
(5) For every $G \in (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$, $\mathsf{Nat}(\mathsf{D}_L G, F) = 0$
(6) $\gamma_F = 0$

Combining this description of the kernel with the fact that $\mathsf{D}_A(\gamma_F)$ is an isomorphism, we have the following two propositions.

Proposition. For any finitely presented functor $F \colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \to \mathsf{Ab}$ there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F_p \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(F) \xrightarrow{\gamma_F} F \longrightarrow F^p \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\mathsf{D}_A(F_p) \cong \mathsf{D}_A(F^p) \cong 0$, and hence arise from pure exact sequences. This sequence is functorial in F.

Proposition. The functor $(_\,)^p \colon \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ker}(\mathsf{D}_A)$ is the left adjoint to the inclusion functor $i \colon \mathsf{Ker}(\mathsf{D}_A) \longrightarrow \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$.

In Section 5, we prove the Left Adjoint Theorem, i.e. that D_L is the left adjoint to the Auslander-Gruson-Jensen functor D_A. This is done by proving that γ as defined in Section 4 satisfies the universal property of a counit of adjunction.

Proposition (Counit Property of γ)**.** Given any morphism

$$\alpha \colon \mathsf{D}_L G \longrightarrow F$$

there exists a unique morphism

$$\varphi \colon \mathsf{D}_A F \longrightarrow G$$

such that $\alpha = \gamma_F \mathsf{D}_L(\varphi)$.



This establishes both the Left Adjoint Theorem and allows us to show the AGL-Localization Property, that is, D_A is a Serre localization functor whose kernel is the Serre subcategory of $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ consisting of all finitely presented functors arising from pure exact sequences.

In Section 6, we prove the Right Adjoint Theorem establishing that the functor D_A also has a fully faithful right adjoint D_R. The functor D_R is defined as follows. Given $G \in (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ and any right module X,

$$\mathsf{D}_R G(X) = \mathsf{Nat}(G, X \otimes _\,).$$

In addition, one easily sees that $\mathsf{D}_A\mathsf{D}_R \cong 1$. Combining these facts results in another four term exact sequence.

Corollary. For any $F \in \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F_q \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\delta_F} \mathsf{D}_R\mathsf{D}_A(F) \longrightarrow F^q \longrightarrow 0$$

where δ_F is the unit of adjunction. Moreover, $\mathsf{D}_A(F_q) \cong \mathsf{D}_A(F^q) \cong 0$ and hence F_q and F^q arise from pure exact sequences. This sequence is functorial in F.

Corollary. The functor $(_\,)_q \colon \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ker}(\mathsf{D}_A)$ is the right adjoint to the inclusion functor $i \colon \mathsf{Ker}(\mathsf{D}_A) \longrightarrow \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$.

Combining all of these results gives the Auslander-Gruson-Jensen recollement. We also are able to show that $(\mathsf{D}_R, \mathsf{D}_A)$ restricts to the Auslander-Gruson-Jensen duality $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}) \to \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})^{op}$.

Corollary. The adjoint pair $(\mathsf{D}_R, \mathsf{D}_A)$ restricts to the Auslander-Gruson-Jensen duality

$$\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}) \underset{\mathsf{D}}{\overset{\mathsf{D}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})^{op}.$$

Because D_R and D_L agree on injectives we are also able to establish that $\mathsf{D}_L \cong L^0\mathsf{D}_R$. This results in an exact sequence of functors

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{K} \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_L \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_R \longrightarrow \underline{\mathsf{D}_R} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\underline{\mathsf{D}_R}$ and K are half exact and vanish on pure-injectives. This sequence is an example of the projective stabilization sequence introduced by Auslander-Bridger in [3].

In [11], Krause produces a recollement of the restriction functor

$$\mathsf{R}\colon \mathsf{fp}(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow (\mathsf{fp}(\mathcal{A})^{op}, \mathsf{Ab})$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is a locally finitely presented category and $\mathsf{fp}(\mathcal{A})$ is the full subcategory of finitely presented objects. This raises an interesting question. What is the relationship between these two recollements? We will not answer this question here; however, this will be addressed in [6] where we discuss how the two recollements are instances of the same construction which utilizes methods from [3].

Acknowledgements. Both authors would like to sincerely thank Rosie Laking for asking certain questions which led to the investigation of the relationship between the functor category $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ and $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$. This is what ultimately led to the discovery that D_A admitted both adjoints. They would also like to thank Henning Krause for pointing out that what we discovered was actually the fact that D_A is a Serre localization functor.

The first author would like to thank Mike Prest in particular for supporting multiple visits to the University of Manchester which was fundamental in discovering this problem. He would also like to thank Ivo Herzog for hosting him while visiting Ohio State University at Lima and making him aware of the connection between model theory and representation theory.

The second author would like to thank his supervisor, Mike Prest, for his ongoing support. He would also like to thank Lidia Angeleri Hügel and David Paukstello for useful suggestions.

Finally, both authors would like to thank the organisers of the Auslander Distinguished Lectures (2016), where some of this work was done and discussed.

Fully Faithful Adjoints. Throughout this paper we heavily use the following fact. The reader is referred to Theorem 1 in Chapter IV, Section 3 from [12]. If F is the left adjoint to G, then F is fully faithful if and only if the unit of adjunction is an isomorphism. Similarly G is fully faithful if and only if the counit of adjoint is an isomorphism.

2. The Yoneda Embedding and Tensor Embedding

This section is a quick review. For more details, see [1] and [12]. Throughout this entire paper:

(1) The word functor will always mean additive functor.
(2) The category of right modules will be denoted by $\mathsf{Mod}(R)$ and the category of finitely presented right modules will be denoted by $\mathsf{mod}(R)$.
(3) Every left module can be viewed as a right module over its opposite ring R^{op}.
(4) The category of abelian groups will be denoted by Ab.

A functor $F\colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ab}$ is called **representable** if it is isomorphic to $\mathsf{Hom}_R(X, _\,)$ for some $X \in \mathsf{Mod}(R)$. We will abbreviate the representable functors by $(X, _\,)$. The most important property of representable functors is the following well known lemma of Yoneda:

Lemma 1 (Yoneda)**.** For any covariant functor $F\colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \to \mathsf{Ab}$ and any $X \in \mathsf{Mod}(R)$, there is an isomorphism:

$$\mathsf{Nat}\big((X, _\,), F\big) \cong F(X)$$

given by $\alpha \mapsto \alpha_X(1_X)$. The isomorphism is natural in both F and X.

An immediate consequence of the Yoneda lemma is that for any $X, Y \in \mathsf{Mod}(R)$, $\mathsf{Nat}\big((Y, _\,), (X, _\,)\big) \cong (X, Y)$. Hence all natural transformations between representable functors come from morphisms between objects in $\mathsf{Mod}(R)$.

Definition 1 (Auslander)**.** A functor $F\colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \to \mathsf{Ab}$ is called **finitely presented** if there exists a sequence of natural transformations

$$(Y, _\,) \longrightarrow (X, _\,) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$$

such that for any $A \in \mathsf{Mod}(R)$, the sequence of abelian groups

$$(Y, A) \longrightarrow (X, A) \longrightarrow F(A) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

One easily shows that if F is finitely presented, then the collection of natural transformations $\mathsf{Nat}(F, G)$ for any functor $G\colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ab}$ is actually an abelian group. As such, one may form a category whose objects are the covariant finitely presented functors $F\colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ab}$ and whose morphisms are the natural transformations between two such functors. This

category is denoted by $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ and was studied extensively by Auslander in multiple works.

Theorem 2 (Auslander, [1], Theorem 2.3)**.** The category $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ consisting of all finitely presented functors together with the natural transformations between them satisfies the following properties:

(1) $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ is abelian. A sequence of finitely presented functors
$$F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow H$$
is exact if and only if for every $A \in \mathsf{Mod}(R)$ the sequence of abelian groups
$$F(A) \longrightarrow G(A) \longrightarrow H(A)$$
is exact.
(2) The projectives are precisely the representable functors $(X, _\,)$.
(3) Every finitely presented functor $F \in \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ has a projective resolution of the form
$$0 \longrightarrow (Z, _\,) \longrightarrow (Y, _\,) \longrightarrow (X, _\,) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0.$$

The functor $\mathsf{Y}\colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \longrightarrow \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ given by $\mathsf{Y}(X) := (X, _\,)$ is a contravariant left exact embedding commonly referred to as the **Yoneda embedding**.

Proposition 3 (Auslander, [1])**.** The functor $\mathsf{Y}\colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \longrightarrow \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ admits a left adjoint $w\colon \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Mod}(R)$ which is an exact contravariant functor. The functor w is completely determined by exactness and the property that, for each $X \in \mathsf{Mod}(R)$, there is an isomorphism $w(X, _\,) \cong X$ which is natural in X. This is the "Coyoneda lemma": The statement that, for any $X \in \mathsf{Mod}(R)$ and $F \in \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$, there is an isomorpism $\mathsf{Nat}(F, (X, _\,)) \cong (X, w(F))$ which is natural in X and F.[1]

The category $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ also has enough injectives as shown by Gentle and he completely classified them.

Proposition 4 (Gentle, [8])**.** The category $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ has enough injectives. A functor $F\colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ab}$ is an injective object in $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ if and only if there are projective modules P, Q such that there exists an exact sequence
$$(Q, _\,) \to (P, _\,) \to F \to 0.$$

Denote by $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}, \mathsf{Ab})$ the category whose objects are additive functors $F\colon \mathsf{mod}(R^{op}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ab}$ and whose morphisms are the natural transformations between. This category is also abelian and again a sequence of functors $F \to G \to H$ in $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ is exact if and only if for any finitely presented module $A \in \mathsf{mod}(R^{op})$, the sequence of abelian groups $F(A) \to G(A) \to H(A)$ is exact.

[1]A discussion regarding this fact appears in [15].

Theorem 5. The category $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ is abelian and in fact Grothendieck. The projectives of $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ are precisely the direct summands of functors of the form $\oplus_{i\in I}(X_i, _\,)$ such that each $X_i \in \mathsf{mod}(R^{op})$. The category has enough projectives.

Theorem 6 (Gruson, Jensen, [9])**.** The functor $t\colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \longrightarrow (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ given by

$$t(M) = M \otimes _$$

is a fully faithful covariant functor.

Lemma 7 (Auslander, Lemma 6.1)**.** Let $L \in \mathsf{Mod}(R^{op})$. Then

$$_ \otimes L\colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ab}$$

is finitely presented if and only if L is finitely presented.

The fact that $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ has global dimension ≤ 2 has interesting consequences when computing derived functors. In order to define the Auslander-Gruson-Jensen functor in the most efficient way, we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 8. Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ be abelian categories and suppose that

$$S\colon \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

is an additive functor.

(1) If S is left exact covariant and $\mathcal{C}$ has projective dimension ≤ 2, then L_0S is exact.
(2) If S is right exact covariant and $\mathcal{C}$ has projective dimension ≤ 2, then R^0S is exact.
(3) If S is left exact contravariant and $\mathcal{C}$ has injective dimension ≤ 2, then L^0S is exact.
(4) If S is right exact contravariant and $\mathcal{C}$ has projective dimension ≤ 2, then R_0S is exact.

Proof. All of the proofs are similar so we only prove the fourth statement since this is the one we will use when defining the Auslander-Gruson-Jensen functor. Take any short exact sequence of objects in $\mathcal{C}$

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$

and use the horseshoe lemma to produce the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns which are projective resolutions of A, B, C respectively.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & A_2 & \longrightarrow & B_2 & \longrightarrow & C_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & A_1 & \longrightarrow & B_1 & \longrightarrow & C_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & A_0 & \longrightarrow & B_0 & \longrightarrow & C_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0. & &
\end{array}$$

Applying the right exact contravariant functor S, using the fact that the rows consisting of projectives split, and the using the definition of R_0S, one produces the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & R_0S(C) & \longrightarrow & R_0S(B) & \longrightarrow & R_0S(A) & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & S(C_0) & \longrightarrow & S(B_0) & \longrightarrow & S(A_0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & S(C_1) & \longrightarrow & S(B_1) & \longrightarrow & S(A_1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & S(C_2) & \longrightarrow & S(B_2) & \longrightarrow & S(A_2) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array}$$

Now by the Snake Lemma there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R_0S(C) \longrightarrow R_0S(B) \longrightarrow R_0S(A) \longrightarrow 0$$

This shows that R_0S is a contravariant exact functor as claimed. ■

As an immediate application of this lemma, observe that the functor $t \circ w$ is right exact contravariant as it is the exact contravariant functor w followed by the right exact functor t. Hence the functor $R_0(t \circ w)$ is exact.

Definition 2. The Auslander-Gruson-Jensen functor is the functor

$$\mathsf{D}_A = R_0(t \circ w).$$

Proposition 9. The Auslander-Gruson-Jensen functor satisfies the following

(1) D_A is exact contravariant.

(2) For any $X \in \mathsf{Mod}(R)$, there is an isomorphism $\mathsf{D}_A(X, _\,) \cong X \otimes _$ which is natural in X.
(3) For any $L \in \mathsf{mod}(R^{op})$, there is an isomorphism $\mathsf{D}_A(_ \otimes L) \cong (L, _\,)$ which is natural in L.

3. The First Embedding $(\text{MOD}(R^{op}), \text{Ab}) \longrightarrow \text{FP}(\text{Mod}(R), \text{Ab})$

Definition 3. A **pure exact sequence** is a short exact sequence of modules

$$0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$$

such that

$$0 \to A \otimes _ \to B \otimes _ \to C \otimes _ \to 0$$

is exact in $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$.

Definition 4. A **pure-injective** module M is any module which is injective with respect to pure exact sequences. That is given any pure exact sequence

$$0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$$

the sequence

$$0 \to (C, M) \to (B, M) \to (A, M) \to 0$$

is exact.

Proposition 10 (Gruson, Jensen, [9])**.** The injectives $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ are precisely the functors of the form $M \otimes _$ for which M is pure-injective.

The existence of injectives in $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ allows us to compute derived functors. There is an obvious contravariant functor

$$\mathsf{Y} \circ \mathsf{ev}_R \colon (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$$

given by

$$\mathsf{Y} \circ \mathsf{ev}_R(F) = (F(R), _\,)$$

Since $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ has enough injectives, we may compute zeroth left derived functors. Define

$$\mathsf{D}_L = L^0(\mathsf{Y} \circ \mathsf{ev}_R).$$

Lemma 11. For any pure-injective module M, there is an isomorphism $\mathsf{D}_L(M \otimes _\,) \cong (M _\,)$ which is natural in M.

Proposition 12. The composition $\mathsf{D}_A\mathsf{D}_L$ is ismorphic to the identity. This immediately implies that D_A is dense and D_L is faithful.

Proof. Start with any injective copresentation

$$0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow M \otimes _ \xrightarrow{f \otimes _} N \otimes _ .$$

Applying D_L yields exact sequence:

$$(N, _) \xrightarrow{(f, _)} (M, _) \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_L F \longrightarrow 0.$$

Applying the exact functor D_A yields exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_A\mathsf{D}_L(F) \longrightarrow M \otimes _ \xrightarrow{f \otimes _} N \otimes _ .$$

Therefore $\mathsf{D}_A\mathsf{D}_L(F) \cong \mathsf{Ker}(f \otimes _) \cong F$ and it is easily verified that this isomorphism is natural. ■

Proposition 13. The functor D_L is full.

Proof. Take any two functors $F, G \in (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ and injective copresentations

$$0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow M \otimes _ \xrightarrow{f \otimes _} N \otimes _$$

$$0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow U \otimes _ \xrightarrow{g \otimes _} V \otimes _$$

where M, N, U, V are all pure-injective. Any natural transformation $\alpha \colon \mathsf{D}_L G \to \mathsf{D}_L F$ can be lifted producing the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(V, _) & \xrightarrow{(g, _)} & (U, _) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{D}_L G & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle (k, _)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (h, _)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} & & \\
(N, _) & \xrightarrow{(f, _)} & (M, _) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{D}_L F & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

By the commutativity of the left square we have a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & M \otimes _ & \xrightarrow{f \otimes _} & N \otimes _ \\
 & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h \otimes _} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle k \otimes _} \\
0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & U \otimes _ & \xrightarrow{g \otimes _} & V \otimes _
\end{array}$$

which embeds into the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & M \otimes _ & \xrightarrow{f \otimes _} & N \otimes _ \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h \otimes _} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle k \otimes _} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & U \otimes _ & \xrightarrow{g \otimes _} & V \otimes _ \end{array}$$

A simple diagram chase now shows that $\alpha = \mathsf{D}_L(\beta)$. ■

Combining the fullness of D_L with the faithfulness established above, we have achieved the following.

Corollary 14. The category $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ embeds into the category of finitely presented functors $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ via D_L.

4. Auslander's Blueprint

In [1], Auslander constructs a morphism $F \xrightarrow{\varphi} (w(F), _\,)$ and discusses the universal property that it satisfies. Though Auslander never explicitly states that Y admits an adjoint, the universal property of φ immediately implies that w is the right adjoint to Y. For this reason we will use Auslander's four term sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathsf{Y}w(F) \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow 0$$

as a blueprint for establishing the Left Adjoint Theorem.

Proposition 15 (Auslander,[1], pg 204)**.** For any finitely presented functor $F\colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \to \mathsf{Ab}$ there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathsf{Y}w(F) \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow 0$$

where $w(F_0) \cong w(F_1) \cong 0$. This sequence is functorial in F.

4.1. **Constructing γ.** For any $X \in \mathsf{Mod}(R)$ take an injective copresentation

$$0 \longrightarrow X \otimes _ \longrightarrow M \otimes _ \longrightarrow N \otimes _\,.$$

This yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (N, _\,) & \longrightarrow & (M, _\,) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(X, _\,) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} & & \vdots{\scriptstyle \gamma_{(X, _\,)}} & & \\ (N, _\,) & \longrightarrow & (M, _\,) & \longrightarrow & (X, _\,). & & \end{array}$$

Lemma 16. If $(f, _\,)\colon (X, _\,) \longrightarrow (Y, _\,)$, then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(Y,_) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(f,_)} & \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(X,_) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{(Y,_)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{(X,_)}} \\ (Y,_) & \xrightarrow{(f,_)} & (X,_). \end{array}$$

In other words, γ is natural in $(X, _)$.

We include a proof for convenience of the reader; however, as defined $\gamma_{(X,_)}$ is obtained by evaluating the well known morphism

$$L^0(\mathsf{Y} \circ \mathsf{ev}_R) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Y} \circ \mathsf{ev}_R$$

at the tensor functor $X \otimes _$. For details, the reader is referred to [5], Chapter 5, Section 5.

Proof. Given any morphism $(f, _)\colon (Y, _) \longrightarrow (X, _)$ we have a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & X \otimes _ & \longrightarrow & M \otimes _ & \longrightarrow & N \otimes _ \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f \otimes _} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Y \otimes _ & \longrightarrow & U \otimes _ & \longrightarrow & V \otimes _ \end{array}$$

where M, N, U, V are pure-injective. Applying D_L yields the following diagram with exact rows



where all solid paths commute. A simple diagram chase now shows that the square containing the dashed natural transformations commutes establishing the claim. ■

We will now extend γ to the entire category $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ For any $F \in \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ and presentation

$$(Y, _) \longrightarrow (X, _) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0.$$

The natural transformation γ_F is obtained as the induced natural transformation in the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(Y,_) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(X,_) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A F & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{(Y,_)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{(X,_)}} & & \vdots{\scriptstyle \gamma_F} & & \\
(Y,_) & \longrightarrow & (X,_) & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Lemma 17. For any functor $F \in \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$, $\mathsf{D}_A(\gamma_F)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. For any $X \in \mathsf{Mod}(R)$ take an injective copresentation

$$0 \longrightarrow X \otimes _ \xrightarrow{f \otimes _} M \otimes _ \xrightarrow{g \otimes _} N \otimes _ \,.$$

As discussed above in the definition of γ, we have the commutative diagram below whose top row is exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(N,_) & \xrightarrow{(g,_)} & (M,_) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{D}_L(f\otimes_)} & \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(X,_) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} & & \vdots{\scriptstyle \gamma_{(X,_)}} & & \\
(N,_) & \xrightarrow[(g,_)]{} & (M,_) & \xrightarrow[(f,_)]{} & (X,_) & &
\end{array}$$

Applying D_A and using the fact D_A sends representables to tensors yields the following commutative diagram whose bottom row is exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \dashrightarrow & X \otimes _ & \xrightarrow{f\otimes_} & M \otimes _ & \xrightarrow{g\otimes_} & N \otimes _ \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{D}_A(\gamma)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{D}_A\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(X,_) & \xrightarrow[\mathsf{D}_A\mathsf{D}_L(f\otimes_)]{} & M \otimes _ & \xrightarrow[g\otimes_]{} & N \otimes _
\end{array}$$

The top row is simply the injective copresentation of $X \otimes _$ that we started with and hence is exact. It follows that $\mathsf{D}_A(\gamma_{(X,_)})$ is an isomorphism for any representable. Using this fact and applying D_A to the diagram preceding this lemma one easily sees that $\mathsf{D}_A(\gamma_F)$ is an isomorphism for any F. ■

4.2. **The Diagrams.** We next show that as defined γ is natural in F. We begin by taking any natural transformation $\alpha\colon F \longrightarrow G$ and producing commutative diagrams that will be relevant in the proof of the naturality of γ. We lift $\alpha\colon F \longrightarrow G$ through any two projective presentations of F and G to get the first commutative diagram:

Diagram 1. We have commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(Y, _) & \xrightarrow{(f, _)} & (X, _) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & F & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle (b, _)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (a, _)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} & & \\
(B, _) & \xrightarrow{(g, _)} & (A, _) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & G & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Diagram 2. By Lemma 16 and Diagram 1 we have the following commutative square.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(X, _) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(a, _)} & \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(A, _) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{(X, _)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{(A, _)}} \\
(X, _) & \xrightarrow{(a, _)} & (A, _)
\end{array}$$

Diagram 3. By definition of γ_F, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(Y, _) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(f, _)} & \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(X, _) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(\varepsilon)} & \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A F & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{(Y, _)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{(X, _)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_F} & & \\
(Y, _) & \xrightarrow{(f, _)} & (X, _) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & F & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Diagram 4. By definition of γ_G, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(B, _) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(g, _)} & \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(A, _) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(\eta)} & \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A G & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{(B, _)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{(A, _)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_G} & & \\
(B, _) & \xrightarrow{(g, _)} & (A, _) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & G & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Diagram 5. By applying the functor $\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A$ to the right commutative square of Diagram 1 we get the following commutative square.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(X, _) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(\varepsilon)} & \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(F) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(a, _)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(\alpha)} \\
\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(A, _) & \xrightarrow[\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(\eta)]{} & \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(G)
\end{array}$$

Proposition 18. The natural transformation γ_F is natural in F. More precisely, if $\alpha\colon F \longrightarrow G$ is any natural transformation, then there is a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(F) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_F} & F \\ {\scriptstyle \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(\alpha)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} \\ \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(G) & \xrightarrow[\gamma_G]{} & G. \end{array}$$

Proof. We have the commutative diagrams as shown above. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\gamma_F\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(\varepsilon) &= \alpha \circ \varepsilon \circ \gamma_{(X,_)} && \text{by Diagram 3} \\ &= \eta \circ (a,_) \circ \gamma_{(X,_)} && \text{by Diagram 1} \\ &= \eta \circ \gamma_{(A,_)} \circ \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(a,_) && \text{by Diagram 2} \\ &= \gamma_G \circ \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(\eta) \circ \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(a,_) && \text{by Diagram 4} \\ &= \gamma_G \circ \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(\alpha) \circ \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(\varepsilon). && \text{by Diagram 5} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(\varepsilon)$ is an epimorphism, it follows that

$$\alpha\gamma_F = \gamma_G \circ \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(\alpha),$$

which is precisely what we needed to show. ■

4.3. **Achieving Auslander's Blueprint.**

Proposition 19. Let $F \in \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$. The following are equivalent.

(1) $\mathsf{D}_A F = 0$
(2) F arises from a pure exact sequence.
(3) F vanishes on all pure-injectives.
(4) For every pure-injective M, $\mathsf{Nat}\big((M,_), F\big) = 0$.
(5) For every $G \in (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$, $\mathsf{Nat}(\mathsf{D}_L G, F) = 0$
(6) $\gamma_F = 0$

Proof.

(1) $\Longrightarrow$ (2): Take any presentation of F

$$0 \longrightarrow (Z,_) \xrightarrow{(g,_)} (Y,_) \xrightarrow{(f,_)} (X,_) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$$

and apply the exact functor D_A to get the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_A F \longrightarrow X \otimes _ \xrightarrow{f\otimes_} Y \otimes _ \xrightarrow{g\otimes_} Z \otimes _ \longrightarrow 0.$$

If $\mathsf{D}_A F = 0$ then the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X \otimes _ \xrightarrow{f \otimes _} Y \otimes _ \xrightarrow{g \otimes _} Z \otimes _ \longrightarrow 0.$$

is exact and evaluation at the ring results in the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \longrightarrow 0$$

which is a pure exact sequence by definition.

(2) $\implies$ (3): Now assume that there is a pure exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \longrightarrow 0$$

such that F has a projective resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow (Z, _\,) \xrightarrow{(g, _\,)} (Y, _\,) \xrightarrow{(f, _\,)} (X, _\,) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0.$$

If M is any pure-injective, then evaluating this exact sequence yields the exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \longrightarrow (Z, M) \xrightarrow{(g, M)} (Y, M) \xrightarrow{(f, M)} (X, M) \longrightarrow F(M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since M is pure exact, (f, M) is an epimorphism and thus $F(M) = 0$.

(3) $\implies$ (4): Application of Yoneda's lemma.

(4) $\implies$ (5): Assume that for any pure-injective $\mathsf{Nat}\big((M, _\,), F\big) \cong 0$. Take any $G \in (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ and take any monomorphism

$$0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow M \otimes _$$

with $M \otimes _$ injective, i.e. M is pure-injective. Applying D_L yields the exact sequence

$$(M, _\,) \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_L G \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now apply the left exact functor $\mathsf{Nat}\big(_\,, F\big)$ to obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{Nat}\big(\mathsf{D}_L G, F\big) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Nat}\big((M, _\,), F\big).$$

Since $\mathsf{Nat}\big((M, _\,), F\big) \cong 0$ it follows that $\mathsf{Nat}\big(\mathsf{D}_L G, F\big) \cong 0$.

(5) $\implies$ (6): Since $\gamma_F \in \mathsf{Nat}(\mathsf{D}_L \mathsf{D}_A(F), F)$, this is immediate.

(6) $\implies$ (1) By Lemma 17

$$\mathsf{D}_A(\gamma_F) \colon \mathsf{D}_A F \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_A \mathsf{D}_L \mathsf{D}_A(F)$$

If $\gamma_F = 0$, then $\mathsf{D}_A(\gamma_F) = 0$ is an isomorphism. Hence $\mathsf{D}_A F = 0$. This completes the proof. ■

Proposition 20. For any finitely presented functor $F\colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \to \mathsf{Ab}$ there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F_p \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(F) \xrightarrow{\gamma_F} F \longrightarrow F^p \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\mathsf{D}_A(F_p) \cong \mathsf{D}_A(F^p) \cong 0$, and hence arise from pure exact sequences. This sequence is functorial in F.

Proof. The Auslander-Gruson-Jensen functor D_A is exact and $\mathsf{D}_A(\gamma)$ is an isomorphism. This shows that $\mathsf{D}_A(F_p) \cong \mathsf{D}_A(F^p) \cong 0$. Hence both F_p and F^p arise from pure exact sequences. The fact that γ is natural makes the sequence functorial in F. ■

Proposition 21. The functor $(_)^p\colon \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ker}(\mathsf{D}_A)$ is the left adjoint to the inclusion functor $i\colon \mathsf{Ker}(\mathsf{D}_A) \longrightarrow \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$.

Proof. Suppose that $G \in \mathsf{Ker}(\mathsf{D}_A)$ and $F \in \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$. By Proposition 19,

$$\mathsf{Nat}(\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_R(F), G) \cong 0.$$

Therefore any $\alpha\colon F \longrightarrow G$ gives rise to the commutative diagram



where the natural transformation ϕ is induced by the universal property of the cokernel of γ_F. It is easily seen that this induces an isomorphism

$$\mathsf{Nat}\big(F^p, G\big) \cong \mathsf{Nat}\big(F, i(G)\big)$$

which is natural in F and G. This shows that $(_)^p$ is the left adjoint to the inclusion functor i. ■

5. The Left Adjoint Theorem

The first of the two main theorems is proved in this section. The way in which we will show the Left Adjoint Theorem is to show that γ as defined above satisfies the universal property of a counit of adjunction.

Proposition 22 (Counit Property of γ)**.** Given any morphism

$$\alpha\colon \mathsf{D}_L G \longrightarrow F$$

there exists a unique morphism

$$\varphi\colon \mathsf{D}_A F \longrightarrow G$$

such that $\alpha = \gamma_F \mathsf{D}_L(\varphi)$.



Proof. Because the functor D_L is fully faithful, it suffices to show that given any morphism

$$\alpha\colon \mathsf{D}_L G \longrightarrow F$$

there exists a unique morphism

$$\phi\colon \mathsf{D}_L G \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A F$$

such that $\alpha = \gamma\phi$.



The φ is the unique morphism such that $\phi = \mathsf{D}_L(\varphi)$.

The proof is mostly diagram chase and we will go through this in detail. Let $F \in \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ and $G \in (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$. By Proposition 20 we have a commutative diagram with exact rows and columns.



where $\psi\delta = \gamma_F$.

Any $\alpha\colon \mathsf{D}_L G \longrightarrow F$ induces the following commutative diagram



where $\varepsilon\alpha = 0$ by Proposition 20 and β is the morphism induced by the universal property of ψ since this is the kernel of ε.

We also obtain from any injective copresentation of G

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_L G \xrightarrow{\rho} M \otimes _ \xrightarrow{f \otimes _} N \otimes _$$

the exact sequence

$$(N, _\,) \xrightarrow{(f, _\,)} (M, _\,) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathsf{D}_L G \longrightarrow 0.$$

By the projectivity of $(M, _\,)$ and the fact that δ is an epimorphism we have the following commutative diagram.



Now we have a commutative diagram with exact rows



which is justified by

(1) The fact that from the above commutative diagrams,

$$\gamma_F\lambda = \psi\delta\lambda = \psi\beta\pi = \alpha\pi.$$

(2) The fact the top row is a projective presentation of $\mathsf{D}_L G$.
(3) The fact that $\mathsf{D}_A F_p = 0$ and N is pure-injective forces $z = 0$ by Proposition 19.

Since

$$\gamma_F(f, _\,) = \theta 0 = 0$$

by the universal property of the cokernel π there exists a unique ϕ making the following triangle commute.



Again using the appropriate commutative diagrams from above we see that

$$\gamma_F \varphi \pi = \gamma_F \lambda = \alpha \pi$$

and since π is an epimorphism, this implies that $\gamma_F \varphi = \alpha$. We have therefore produced the following commutative diagram with exact rows.



The only thing left is to show uniqueness of ϕ, but if μ is any other natural transformation filling the diagram, then $\gamma_F(\phi - \mu) = 0$ and hence $\phi - \mu$ factors through F_p. We have $\mathsf{D}_A F_p = 0$ and $\phi - \mu \in \mathsf{Nat}(\mathsf{D}_L G, F_p)$ but by Proposition 19 this means $\phi - \mu = 0$ and hence $\phi = \mu$. This shows that ϕ is unique completing the proof. ■

Theorem 23 (Left Adjoint Theorem)**.** The Auslander-Gruson-Jensen functor D_A admits a fully faithful left adjoint D_L



That is, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathsf{Nat}(\mathsf{D}_L G, F) \cong \mathsf{Nat}(\mathsf{D}_A F, G)$$

The unit of adjunction is an isomorphism.

Proof. We have already shown that D_L is fully faithful. The morphism γ satisfies the counit formula required for an adjunction. As a result, for any $G \in (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ and any $F \in \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ there is an isomorphism

$$\mathsf{Nat}(\mathsf{D}_L G, F) \cong \mathsf{Nat}(\mathsf{D}_A F, G)$$

which is natural in F and G. Since D_L is fully faithful, it follows that the unit of adjunction $\eta_G \colon \mathsf{D}_A\mathsf{D}_L G \to G$ is an isomorphism. ■

Proposition 24 (The AGJ Localization Property)**.** The Auslander-Gruson-Jensen functor satisfies the following universal property. If $\mathcal{A}$ is any abelian category and $\mathcal{E} \colon \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is any exact functor vanishing on finitely presented functors F for which $\mathsf{D}_A F = 0$, then there exists a unique exact functor $\Psi \colon (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ making the following diagram commute. In particular, $\Psi = \mathcal{E}\mathsf{D}_L$ is such a functor.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{D}_A} & (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab}) \\ {\scriptstyle \forall \mathcal{E}} \downarrow & \swarrow {\scriptstyle \exists ! \Psi = \mathcal{E}\mathsf{D}_L} & \\ \mathcal{A} & & \end{array}$$

Hence D_A is a Serre localization functor inducing an equivalence of categories

$$\frac{\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})}{\{F \mid \mathsf{D}_A F = 0\}} \cong (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})^{op}$$

where

$$\{F \mid \mathsf{D}_A F = 0\}$$

is the Serre subcategory of $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ consisting of all finitely presented functors arising from pure exact sequences.

Proof. Using the Horseshoe lemma, Snake lemma, and the right exactness of the tensor embedding, one can easily show that that any short exact sequence of functors in $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$

$$0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 0$$

embeds into the following commutative diagram with 6 exact rows and 5 exact columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M \otimes _ & \longrightarrow & U \otimes _ & \longrightarrow & K \otimes _ & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N \otimes _ & \longrightarrow & V \otimes _ & \longrightarrow & J \otimes _ & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes _ & \longrightarrow & B \otimes _ & \longrightarrow & C \otimes _ & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

where row 3 and row 4 consist of injectives, and hence split, and row 5 arises from the pure exact sequence $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$. The exactness of row 5 follows from the fact that the second row is exact and the Snake lemma.

Applying D_L to the first 5 rows of this commutative yields the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (C, _) & \longrightarrow & (B, _) & \longrightarrow & (A, _) & \longrightarrow & S \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (J, _) & \longrightarrow & (V, _) & \longrightarrow & (N, _) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (K, _) & \longrightarrow & (U, _) & \longrightarrow & (M, _) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \mathsf{D}_L H & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{D}_L G & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{D}_L F & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array}$$

Since $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$ is pure exact, by Proposition 19 it follows that $\mathsf{D}_A S = 0$. Suppose that $\mathcal{E} \colon \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is any exact functor vanishing on those functors arising from pure exact sequences. Then $\mathcal{E}(S) = 0$. By the snake lemma, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_L H \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_L G \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_L F \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}$ is exact and vanishes on S, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}\mathsf{D}_L H \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}\mathsf{D}_L G \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}\mathsf{D}_L F \longrightarrow 0$$

which shows that $\mathcal{E}\mathsf{D}_L$ is an exact functor.

Define $\Psi = \mathcal{E}\mathsf{D}_L$. We just established that Ψ is an exact functor. We want to show that it is the unique exact functor that satisfies the relation $\Psi\mathsf{D}_A \cong \mathcal{E}$. First we show that it satisfies this relation. Take any functor $F \in \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ and apply the functor $\mathcal{E}$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F_p \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(F) \xrightarrow{\gamma} F \longrightarrow F^p \longrightarrow 0$$

to get the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(F_p) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A(F) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}(\gamma)} \mathcal{E}(F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(F^p) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since F_p and F^p satisfy $\mathsf{D}_A(F_p) \cong \mathsf{D}_A(F^p) \cong 0$, by assumption on $\mathcal{E}$ we also know that $\mathcal{E}(F_p) \cong \mathcal{E}(F^p) \cong 0$ which makes $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ an isomorphism. Since γ is natural in F, one now has that $\mathcal{E}\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A \cong \mathcal{E}$ establishing that $\Psi\mathsf{D}_A \cong \mathcal{E}$ as required.

We conclude with the uniqueness portion of the argument. If

$$\Theta \colon (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

is exact and satisfies $\Theta \mathsf{D}_A \cong \mathcal{E}$, then since $\mathsf{D}_A \mathsf{D}_L \cong 1$ we have

$$\Theta \cong \Theta 1 \cong \Theta \mathsf{D}_A \mathsf{D}_L \cong \mathcal{E} \mathsf{D}_L$$

which shows that $\Theta \cong \mathcal{E}\mathsf{D}_L$ as claimed. This completes the proof that D_A is a Serre localization functor. ■

6. The Right Adjoint Theorem

Lemma 25. The category $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ has products (which are constructed object-wise).

Proof. This follows from the exactness of the product on the category of abelian groups, the fact that representables are closed under products, and the fact that products are constructed object-wise in $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$. ■

In an additive category, any limit may be constructed from products and kernels. See [12] for details.

Corollary 26. The category $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ has limits (which are constructed object-wise).

Suppose that $F \in \mathsf{mod}(R^{op}, \mathsf{Ab})$. Let $M \in \mathsf{Mod}(R)$. Define

$$(\mathsf{D}_R F)(M) = \mathsf{Nat}(F, M \otimes _\,).$$

It is easily seen that this assignment is functorial in both F and M, that $\mathsf{D}_R(X \otimes _\,) \cong (X, _\,)$, and that for any finitely presented module V, $\mathsf{D}_R(V, _\,) = _ \otimes V$. So for any module X and for any finitely presented module L that both $\mathsf{D}_R(X \otimes _\,)$ and $\mathsf{D}_R(V, _\,)$ are finitely presented. This is even more general.

Proposition 27. If $G\colon \mathsf{mod}(R^{op}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ab}$, then the functor $\mathsf{D}_R G \in \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ and $\mathsf{D}_R\colon (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ is left exact.

Proof. Let $M \in \mathsf{Mod}(R)$. Take any projective presentation of G

$$\oplus(Y_j, _\,) \longrightarrow \oplus(X_i, _\,) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0$$

and apply the left exact functor $\mathsf{Nat}(_\,, M \otimes _\,)$ to get the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{Nat}(G, M \otimes _\,) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Nat}\big(\oplus (X_i, _\,), M \otimes _\,\big) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Nat}\big(\oplus (Y_j, _\,), M \otimes _\,\big) \\
\downarrow = & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
0 \longrightarrow (\mathsf{D}_R G)(M) & \longrightarrow & \prod \mathsf{Nat}\big((X_i, _\,), M \otimes _\,\big) & \longrightarrow & \prod \mathsf{Nat}\big((Y_j, _\,), M \otimes _\,\big) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
0 \longrightarrow (\mathsf{D}_R G)(M) & \longrightarrow & \prod \big(M \otimes X_i\big) & \longrightarrow & \prod \big(M \otimes Y_j\big) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
0 \longrightarrow (\mathsf{D}_R G)(M) & \longrightarrow & \prod \big(_ \otimes X_i\big)(M) & \longrightarrow & \prod \big(_ \otimes Y_j\big)(M)
\end{array}$$

It follows that there is a sequence of natural transformations

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_R G \longrightarrow \prod \big(_ \otimes X_i\big) \longrightarrow \prod \big(_ \otimes Y_j\big)$$

which remains exact upon evaluation at any module. Since for all i, j the modules X_i, Y_j are finitely presented left modules, the functors $_ \otimes X_i$ and $_ \otimes Y_j$ are finitely presented by Lemma 7. Since $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ is closed under products, it follows that $\mathsf{D}_R G$ is finitely presented.

Now suppose that we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\alpha} G \xrightarrow{\beta} H \longrightarrow 0.$$

in $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$. Given any module M, applying the left exact functor $\mathsf{Nat}\big(_\,, M \otimes _\,\big)$ results in the exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_R H(M) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{D}_R(\beta)_M} \mathsf{D}_R G(M) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{D}_R(\alpha)_M} \mathsf{D}_R F(M)$$

which shows that the following sequence of functors

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_R H \xrightarrow{\mathsf{D}_R(\beta)} \mathsf{D}_R G \xrightarrow{\mathsf{D}_R(\alpha)} \mathsf{D}_R F$$

is exact. ■

Corollary 28. If $G \in (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ and

$$\oplus (Y_j, _\,) \longrightarrow \oplus (X_i, _\,) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0$$

is any projective presentation with X_i, Y_j finitely presented, then $\mathsf{D}_R G$ has an injective copresentation of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_R G \longrightarrow \prod \big(_ \otimes X_i\big) \longrightarrow \prod \big(_ \otimes Y_j\big).$$

Proof. The copresentation is produced in the preceding proof. The functors of the form $_ \otimes V_k$, where V_k is finitely presented, are injective objects in $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$. The product of injectives is injective and so the copresentation is an injective copresentation. ■

Theorem 29 (Right Adjoint Theorem)**.** The Auslander-Gruson-Jensen functor D_A admits a fully faithful right adjoint D_R

$$\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})^{op} \underset{\mathsf{D}_R}{\overset{\mathsf{D}_A}{\rightleftarrows}} (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$$

That is, for any $F \in \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ and any $G \in (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathsf{Nat}(F, \mathsf{D}_R G) \cong \mathsf{Nat}(G, \mathsf{D}_A F)$$

natural in F and G.

Proof. Let $F \in \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ and $G \in (\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$. To any presentation

$$(Y, _\,) \xrightarrow{(f, _\,)} (X, _\,) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$$

we may apply D_A to produce the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_A F \longrightarrow X \otimes _ \xrightarrow{f \otimes _} Y \otimes _\,.$$

Applying the left exact functor $\mathsf{Nat}(G, _\,)$ yields an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{Nat}(G, \mathsf{D}_A F) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Nat}(G, X \otimes _\,) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Nat}(G, f \otimes _\,)} \mathsf{Nat}(G, Y \otimes _\,).$$

Now by applying the left exact functor $\mathsf{Nat}(_\,, \mathsf{D}_R G)$ to the same presentation of F one obtains the following commutative diagram with exact rows.



Therefore

$$\mathsf{Nat}(F, \mathsf{D}_R G) \cong \mathsf{Ker}\Big(\mathsf{Nat}(G, f \otimes _\,)\Big) \cong \mathsf{Nat}(G, \mathsf{D}_A F)$$

and it is easily verified that this isomorphism is natural in F and G. This establishes the adjunction.

Since D_R is the right adjoint to D_A and D_A is the right adjoint to D_L, the composition $\mathsf{D}_A\mathsf{D}_R$ is the right adjoint to the composition $\mathsf{D}_A\mathsf{D}_L \cong 1$. Since the identity is also the right adjoint to itself, by the uniqueness of right adjoints, it must be the case that $\mathsf{D}_A\mathsf{D}_R \cong 1$. Therefore D_R is faithful. From the adjunction $(\mathsf{D}_L, \mathsf{D}_A, \eta, \gamma)$ where η is the unit and γ the counit and the adjunction $(\mathsf{D}_A, \mathsf{D}_R, \delta, \epsilon)$ where δ is the unit and ϵ is the counit, by Theorem 1, Chapter 5, Section 8 in [12], we have an adjunction $(\mathsf{D}_A\mathsf{D}_L, \mathsf{D}_A\mathsf{D}_R, (\mathsf{D}_A\delta\mathsf{D}_L)\eta, \epsilon(\mathsf{D}_A\gamma\mathsf{D}_R))$ where the unit is $\mathsf{D}_A\delta\mathsf{D}_L\eta$ and the counit is $\epsilon\mathsf{D}_A\gamma\mathsf{D}_R$. But as we just discussed, this adjunction must be equivalent to $(1, 1, 1, 1)$ and therefore $\epsilon(\mathsf{D}_A\gamma\mathsf{D}_R)$ must be an isomorphism. Since $\mathsf{D}_A\gamma$ is an isomorphism, it follows that $\mathsf{D}_A\gamma\mathsf{D}_R$ is an isomorphism and hence ϵ is an isomorphism. As a result, the functor D_R is fully faithful. This completes the proof. ■

Remark. The argument at the end of the previous proof is completely general. Given a functor $G : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ with adjoints $F \dashv G \dashv H$, F is fully faithful if and only if H is fully faithful.

To see this, let η and ϵ be the unit and counit of the adjunction $F \dashv G$ and let θ and ζ be the unit and counit of the adjunction $G \dashv H$.

Since F is fully faithful, the unit $\eta : 1_{\mathcal{B}} \to GF$ is an isomorphism. Therefore GF is an isomorphism. By composition of adjunctions, it follows that $GF \dashv GH$, with unit $(G\theta F)\eta$ and counit $\zeta(H\epsilon G)$. Since GF is an isomorphism, so is GH, and hence both are fully faithful. Therefore, both $(G\theta F)\eta$ and $\zeta(H\eta G)$ are isomorphisms. Note that, since $(\eta G)(\epsilon G) = 1_G$, ϵG and hence $H\epsilon G$ is an isomorphism. It follows that ζ is an isomorphism, and hence H is fully faithful.

Corollary 30. The category $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ embeds into $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ via the functor D_R.

Corollary 31. For any $F \in \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F_q \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\delta_F} \mathsf{D}_R\mathsf{D}_A(F) \longrightarrow F^q \longrightarrow 0$$

where δ_F is the unit of adjunction. Moreover, $\mathsf{D}_A(F_q) \cong \mathsf{D}_A(F^q) \cong 0$ and hence F_q and F^q arise from pure exact sequences. This sequence is functorial in F.

Corollary 32. Suppose that F is a finitely presented functor and M is a pure-injective. Then $F(M) \cong \mathsf{D}_R\mathsf{D}_A F(M)$. As a result, $F(M) = 0$ if and only if $(\mathsf{D}_R\mathsf{D}_A F)(M) = 0$

Proof. Since M is pure-injective and $\mathsf{D}_A(F_q) \cong \mathsf{D}_A(F^q) \cong 0$, by Proposition 19, $F_q(M) \cong F^q(M) = 0$. Therefore the unit δ_F evaluated at any pure-injective M is an isomorphism.

Corollary 33. The functor $(_)_q \colon \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}) \to \mathsf{Ker}(\mathsf{D}_A)$ is the right adjoint to the inclusion functor $\mathsf{Ker}(\mathsf{D}_A) \longrightarrow \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$.

Proof. Let $G \in \mathsf{Ker}(\mathsf{D}_A)$ and $F \in \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$. Since $\mathsf{D}_A(G) \cong 0$ we have for any $\alpha \colon G \longrightarrow F$ the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & G_q & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\delta_G} & 0 \\
 & & & \swarrow_{\exists!\phi} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 0} \\
0 & \longrightarrow & F_q & \longrightarrow & F & \xrightarrow{\delta_F} & \mathsf{D}_R\mathsf{D}_A(F)
\end{array}$$

where ϕ is induced by the universal property of the cokernel. It is easily verified that this results in an isomorphism

$$\mathsf{Nat}\big(i(G), F\big) \cong \mathsf{Nat}(G, F_q)$$

which is natural in both F and G. As a result, $(_)_q$ is the right adjoint to the inclusion functor.

For the next corollary, we review the concept of a recollement. The reader is referred to [7] for details. A **recollement** consists of a diagram of additive functors between abelian categories $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$



where

(1) The functor f has left adjoint f_L and right adjoint f^R.
(2) The unit $1_{\mathcal{C}} \to ff_L$ and counit $ff^R \to 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ are isomorphisms.
(3) The functor i has left adjoint i_L and right adjoint i^R.
(4) The unit $1_{\mathcal{A}} \to i^R i$ and counit $i_L i \to 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ are isomorphisms.
(5) The functor i is an embedding onto the full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of all objects A for which $f(A) = 0$.

The Auslander-Gruson-Jensen Recollement (AGL Recollement)**.** The functors $(\mathsf{D}_L, \mathsf{D}_A, \mathsf{D}_R)$ give rise to a recollement.



Corollary 34. For any finitely presented functor F,

(1) $(F/F_q)_q \cong 0$.
(2) $(\mathsf{D}_L\mathsf{D}_A F/F_p)^p \cong 0$

To state the next result, we must recall the Auslander-Gruson-Jensen duality. The following terminology will be used to illustrate the connections with model theory of modules. This terminology is not standard. A functor $F\colon \mathsf{Mod}(R) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ab}$ is called a **pp-functor** if there exists finitely presented modules X, Y and a sequence of natural transformations

$$(X, _\,) \longrightarrow (Y, _\,) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$$

such that for any module A the sequence of abelian groups

$$(X, A) \longrightarrow (Y, A) \longrightarrow F(A) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. For any ring R, the pp-functors sit in $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ as a full abelian subcategory. The full subcategory of $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ consisting of the pp-functors is denoted by $\mathsf{pp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$.

Every object in the category $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ is by the categorical definition a finitely presented object. This does not hold in $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$. The finitely presented objects in $(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$ are the functors $G\colon \mathsf{mod}(R^{op}) \longrightarrow$

Ab such that there exists $U, V \in \mathsf{mod}(R^{op})$ and exact sequence

$$(V, _\,) \longrightarrow (U, _\,) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0$$

This full subcategory is denoted $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$. It is easily verified that

$$\mathsf{pp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}) \simeq \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}).$$

There is a well known duality between $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ and $\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$

$$\mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}) \underset{\mathsf{D}}{\overset{\mathsf{D}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{mod}(R^{op}), \mathsf{Ab})$$

given by the formula

$$\mathsf{D}F(X) = \mathsf{Nat}(F, X \otimes _\,)$$

We will refer to this as the Auslander-Gruson-Jensen duality due to its discovery in representation theory by Auslander in [2] and Gruson and Jensen in [9]. The functor D was also discovered in the model theory of modules.[2] This is due to a sequence of contributions:

(1) Prest defines the dual $D(\theta)$ of a pp-formula θ. For details, see [13].
(2) Herzog defines a category of pp-pairs. He shows that the category of pp-pairs is an abelian category and extends D by defining $D(\theta/\mu)$ for the pp-pair θ/μ. For details, see [10].
(3) Burke shows that the the category of pp-pairs is equivalent to the category of finitely presented functors and that the functor D is equivalent to D. See [4] for details.

Using the fact that $\mathsf{pp}(\mathsf{Mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab}) \cong \mathsf{fp}(\mathsf{mod}(R), \mathsf{Ab})$ and verifying that D_A and D_L satisfy

$$\mathsf{D}_A F(X) = \mathsf{Nat}(F, X \otimes _\,)^3 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathsf{D}_R F(X) = \mathsf{Nat}(F, X \otimes _\,)$$

we get the following.

Proposition 35. The adjoint pair $(\mathsf{D}_R, \mathsf{D}_A)$ restricts to the Auslander-Gruson-Jensen duality.

[2] We will not go into any details of model theory in this exposition. For a complete account of the connection between finitely presented functors and model theory, the reader is referred to [14], which we sometimes call "the big red book of pure-injectives".

[3] This is one of the reasons we have named D_A the Auslander-Gruson-Jensen functor. It is actually given by the same formula as D; however, the source and target categories are different.



Before discussing future work, we recall that we have produced the following adjunction diagram.

AGJ Adjunction Diagram.



Relationship With Contravariant Case. In [11], Krause produces a recollement of the restriction functor

$$\mathsf{R}\colon \mathsf{fp}(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathsf{Ab}) \longrightarrow (\mathsf{fp}(\mathcal{A})^{op}, \mathsf{Ab})$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is a locally finitely presented category and $\mathsf{fp}(\mathcal{A})$ is the full subcategory of finitely presented objects. This raises an interesting question. What is the relationship between these two recollements? We will not answer this question here; however, this will be addressed in [6] where we discuss how the two recollements are instances of a general construction which utilizes methods from [3] and derived functors.

Because D_R and D_L agree on injectives, one easily sees that $\mathsf{D}_L \cong L^0\mathsf{D}_R$. Thus there is an exact sequence of functors

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{K} \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_L \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}_R \longrightarrow \underline{\mathsf{D}_R} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\underline{\mathsf{D}_R}$ and K are half exact and vanish on pure-injectives. This sequence is an example of the projective stabilization sequence introduced by Auslander-Bridger in [3]. In [6], we will also show other instances of recollements arising in this way. These include recollements arising from the functor w and the functor ev_R.

References

1. M. Auslander, *Coherent Functors*, Proceedings of the Conference on Categorical Algebra (La Jolla), Springer-Verlag, 1965, pp. 189–231.

2. ———, *Isolated Singularities and Existence of Almost Split Sequences*, Representation Theory II **1178** (1984), 194–241.
3. M. Auslander and M. Bridger, *Stable Module Theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **94** (1967).
4. K. Burke, *Some Model-Theoretic Properties of Functor Categories for Modules*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Manchester, 1994.
5. Henri Cartan and Samuel Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton Mathematical Series, Princeton University Press, 1956.
6. Samuel Dean and Jeremy Russell, *Recollements Via Derived Functors and Duality of Defect Zero Functors*, (in prep).
7. Vincent Franjou and Teimuraz Pirashvili, *Comparison of abelian categories recollements*, Documenta Math **9** (2004), 41–56.
8. Ron Gentle, *T.T.F. Theories For Left and Right Exact Sequences*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **75** (1991), 489–502.
9. L. Gruson and C. U. Jensen, *Dimensions cohomologiques reliees aux foncteurs* $\varinjlim^i$, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **867**, no. (i), pp. 243–294.
10. Ivo Herzog, *Elementary Duality of Modules*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **340** (1993), no. 1, 37–69.
11. Henning Krause, *Morphisms Determined by Objects and Flat Covers*, Forum Mathematicum, doi:10.1515/forum-2014-0115 (2014).
12. Saunders MacLane, *Categories For the Working Mathematician*, Springer.
13. Mike Prest, *Model Theory and Modules*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, vol. 130, Cambridge University Press, 1988.
14. ———, *Purity, Spectra, and Localisation*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Cambridge University Press, July 2009.
15. Jeremy Russell, *Applications of the Defect of a Finitely Presented Functor*, (2015), (preprint), http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.0054.

The College of New Jersey, Ewing, New Jersey
E-mail address: russelj1@tcnj.edu

University of Manchester, Manchester, UK
E-mail address: samuel.dean-3@postgrad.manchester.ac.uk